

MarcellusGas.Org

Document Disclaimer

The information contained in this document represents content obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. MarcellusGas.Org makes no claims whatsoever as to the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of the information provided.

By downloading this document, you agree that you have accepted the terms and conditions set forth in the MarcellusGas.Org User Agreement located at:

http://www.marcellusgas.org/terms_of_use.php



pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION

December 14, 2015

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Re: 58 Pa. C.S. § 3218 Determination
Complaint No. 315271
Eulalia Township, Potter County

Dear [REDACTED]

The Department initiated an investigation of the possible degradation (a reported turbidity increase/soapy water) of your water supply "Water Supply" located at the address above in Eulalia Township, Potter County in response to a 9/21/2015 complaint that recent gas well drilling activities may have affected your water supply well. Based on the sample results and other information obtained to date, the Department has determined that the Water Supply was adversely affected by the drilling, alteration, or operation of an oil or gas well. The information, upon which this determination is based, is summarized below.

You reported that the Water Supply's conditions had changed sometime after 9/13/2015. No pre-drill water quality data was available for the Water Supply. Subsequently, water quality samples were collected from the Water Supply on several occasions by the Department and private consultants, and were submitted to the Department's laboratory or to an accredited third party laboratory for analysis. The analytical reports for the samples collected by the Department were previously submitted to you. Please see the attached documents, which include sampling results regarding the quality of the Water Supply, as well as information regarding interpreting those results.

On 9/23/2015 and 10/15/2015, Department staff observed that water from the Water Supply appeared cloudy and had a slight soapy appearance during the 9/23/2015 sampling event, and appeared clear during the 10/15/2015 sampling event. Water quality samples were collected on both dates for analysis by the Department's laboratory. After review of the data collected by the Department and others, the results reveal that the water quality observed is consistent with the issues reported to the Department and appears to be related to oil and gas drilling activities.


Specifically, analytical data collected over the investigation period reveal turbidity levels as high as 33.9 NTU during the Department's initial sampling conducted on 9/23/2015, which has since subsided to <1 NTU during the 10/15/2015 split sampling conducted by the Department and [REDACTED]. Additionally, concentrations of iron and aluminum were detected above their respective Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels (SMCLs) during the September sampling events, but have since decreased to levels that are in compliance with respective SMCLs. The entrainment

of sediment in the samples is the most likely cause of the observed increases in metals, which are common elements found in the soils and geology of the area. Isopropanol was not detected during any of the sampling events conducted on the Water Supply. Methylene Blue Active Substance (MBAS), an analysis for surfactants, was detected at a high of 6.48 mg/L in the 9/21/2015 sampling event, but has been <0.0250 mg/L since 10/7/2015.

Several Volatile/Semi-volatile Organic Compounds (VOC/SVOCs) were detected during the course of the investigation, including acetone, which is a degradation by-product of isopropanol in the aquifer. No VOCs or SVOCs were detected above applicable standards during the investigation, and recent analytical data indicate the absence of these compounds with the exception of bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate. However, this compound was also detected in the trip blanks collected during the sampling events. While the samples on 9/23/2015 showed a difference in concentration of bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate between the sample and the trip blank, more recent data collected on 10/15/2015 shows the concentrations to be similar between the samples, and appears related to the vials used to store the hydrochloric acid used in sample preservation, and therefore does not appear to be related to the release. Data from [REDACTED] on 10/15/2015 also shows an estimated low concentrations of bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate, but it is unclear if this is related to their sample collection procedure at this time since no trip blank data is available from [REDACTED] sampling event.

This is an ongoing investigation and a Department representative will be contacting you in the near future to schedule another round of sampling from the Water Supply. The Department will send you a follow-up letter when the Department determines that conditions in the Water Supply have returned to background conditions. Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact William J. Kosmer, P.G. at 570.974.2613.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Means
Program Manager
Eastern Oil and Gas District

Enclosures:

Laboratory Analytical Table
"How to Interpret A Water Analysis Report"

cc:

William J. Kosmer, P.G.
Terra Tokarz
David Engle
Matt Nuss
Sharon Steinbacher
Complaint File # 315271